

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,123	07/08/2003	Bradley D. Schweigert	KMC-585 2211	
7590 08/31/2005			EXAMINER	
Darrell F. Marquette			HUNTER, ALVIN A	
2201 W. Desert Cove			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Phoenix, AZ	85029			TATERNOMBER
			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(ī	7	th	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/616,123	SCHWEIGERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alvin A. Hunter	3711	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31: or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fer have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2)	ee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely fil may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ed
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sin a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	•
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: .	
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4, and 5.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ınc
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	а
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	
GREGORY VIDOVICH	
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	
OU FURIOUS I VIEW FARMINES	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Though applicant argues that the interpretation of the prior art is different from that of what the applicant regards as the invention, Applicant has the duty to construct the claims such that the claims such that they overcome the prior art. Applicant is reminded that claims are to be given there broadest reasonable interpretation. The claim as amended in its broadest reasonable interpretation still read on the Best reference. IDS has not been considered because it does not appear to be accompanied by a statement or a fee.